1	Minutes (Draft)
2	Scientific Advisory Subcommittee Meeting
3	May 5, 2008
4	DFS Central Laboratory, Classroom 1 & 2
5	
6	Members Present
7	
8	Wanda Adkins
9	Elizabeth Ballard
10	Jeffrey Ban
11	David Barron, Ph.D.
12	Joseph Bono
13	Katie Carlson
14	Dale Carpenter
15	Robin Cotton
16	Angie Cunningham
17	Barry Fisher
18	Michele Gowdy
19	Ann Marie Gross
20	Linda Jackson
21	Bradford Jenkins
22	Cathryn Knutson
23	Dan Krane, Ph.D.
24	Alka Lohmann
25	Peter Marone
26	Carna Meyer
27	Carissa Onorato
28	Alphonse Poklis, Ph.D.
29	John Przybylski
30	Stephen Rodgers
31	Norah Rudin, Ph.D.
32	Brian Shannon
33	Steven Sigel
34	
35	Barry Fisher, Chairman of the Scientific Advisory Committee, called the meeting the order at
36	9:05 a.m.
37	Mr. Eighan thankad all the neutrainents for neutraineting in each of the subsemmittee. Mr. Eighan
38 39	Mr. Fisher thanked all the participants for participating in each of the subcommittee. Mr. Fisher
	had all in attendance to introduce themselves and where they were from.
40 41	Mr. Fisher explained that at the Forensic Science Advisory Board meeting on January 9, 2008
42	that the Board requested the Scientific Advisory Committee to perform and review the Y-STR
43	testing that DFS is validating and report to the Board by the May 7, 2008 meeting. It was also
44	requested other new technologies be reviewed for presentation to the Board on May 7, 2008 for
45	Breath Alcohol New Instrumentation, AccuTOF-Dart and Mitochondrial DNA. He further
46	explained that the Code of Virginia by statute formed the Forensic Science Board as a policy
TU	explained that the code of virginia by statute formed the Potensie Science Board as a policy

board and part of their responsibility is to have the Scientific Advisory Committee to review and make recommendations on new scientific programs, protocols, and methods of testing for the Board's approval

As Chairman of the Scientific Advisory Committee, I created subcommittees to review this information and that's why each of you are here today to look into the procedures and protocols of each of the areas. Your subcommittees will report to the Scientific Advisory Committee on May 6, 2008 and then the committee will decide on what information to submit to the Forensic Science Board at its meeting on May 7, 2008.

Mr. Fisher explained that these meeting are covered by FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) and are considered open meetings and maybe attended by the general public. All the meeting will be recorded and minutes will be taken at the subcommittee meetings.

Mr. Fisher asked each committee at the end of their meetings today to be able to make a decision or draw a conclusion on these new methodologies. He felt they each had three choices:

- 1) DFS is not ready to implement
- 2) DFS is ready to implement
- 3) DFS is given provisional approval with further information to be given to Scientific Advisory Committee for additional review.

 Each subcommittee shall appoint a Chairman and this person will be required to address the Scientific Advisory Committee on their recommendations at the meeting on Tuesday, May 6th. Each subcommittee's recommendations should be addressed to Mr. Fisher by the end of the day.

Mr. Fisher dismissed the sub-committees to their respective meeting rooms.

93	Minutes (Draft)
94	Scientific Advisory Committee
95	Subcommittee on Breath Alcohol
96	May 5, 2008
97	DFS Biotech 8, Conference Room
98	
99	
100	Subcommittee Members Present:
101	
102	Dr. Dale Carpenter
103	Dr. Alphonse Poklis
104	
105	Staff Members Present:
106	
107	Ms. Alka Lohmann, Breath Alcohol Section Chief
108	Ms. Carisa Onorato, Administrative Specialist
109	
110	Call to Order:
111	
112	Carisa Onorato called the meeting to order at 10:26 a.m. Dr. Carpenter was designated
113	Chairperson for the Breath Alcohol subcommittee meeting.
114	
115	Overview of the new breath alcohol instrumentation invitation for bid:
116	
117	Alka Lohmann gave an overview of the invitation for bid (IFB) to the subcommittee. The IFB
118	contains all the criteria that the new breath alcohol instrumentation must have met before the
119	contract was awarded. The specifications were met and the contract has been awarded to
120	Intoximeters for the EC/IR II breath alcohol instrument. Ms. Lohmann explained that the four
121	binders that were provided contained data which showed that the specifications were met. Ms.
122	Lohmann presented additional documentation for a described protocol once the new instruments
123	are implemented. She also described how the determinations for accuracy and precision will be
124	made on the new instrument. Ms. Lohmann described the testing protocols for operators
125	conducting a breath test on a subject and indicated that they would remain the same. Ms.
126	Lohmann then went over a proposed Quality Assurance form.
127	
128	There was discussion regarding the purpose of the subcommittee's role in the Department of
129	Forensic Science's (DFS) plan on moving forward with the new instrument.
130	
131	Dr. Carpenter inquired as to how many states are currently using the EC/IR II. Ms. Lohmann
132	responded that West Virginia, Wisconsin, North Carolina, and Tennessee are currently using this
133	instrument. Arkansas will be using it in the future, and there may be some states that use it in
134	some localites.
135	
136	The new instrument offers enhanced communication capabilities, increased troubleshooting
137	abilities from the lab, and the ability to use dry gas versus a wet bath. Also, there was discussion
138	about how the gas cylinders would be changed in the new instruments. Ms. Lohmann explained

what the protocols would be for the gas cylinders. Ms. Lohmann also described how the communication would work from DFS to the instruments in the field and vice versa.

141

- 142 Dr. Carpenter asked Ms. Lohmann to give an overview of the instrument. Ms. Lohmann
- described how the instrument functioned, its capabilities, and fuel cell technology. Dr. Carpenter
- then inquired about the process of how DFS was going to handle the implementation of the new
- instruments once they arrive. Ms. Lohmann gave a summary on the process the new instruments
- will go through once they are received by DFS, and referred to the use of the quality assurance
- checks which will be memorialized on the above-mentioned form.

148

- Dr. Poklis inquired about the types of reports that can be requested from DFS relating to the
- 150 EC/IR II. Ms. Lohmann stated that the same reports that are available now will be available for
- the new instruments. The format for the reports will be similar to what is already being produced
- 152 by DFS.

153154

There was discussion on how DFS will perform maintenance on the new instruments

155

- 156 Dr. Carpenter then asked Ms. Lohmann to discuss the plan for operator training and the phasing
- in of the new instruments. Ms. Lohmann gave a summary of the operator training. The new
- instruments will be placed in regions and this will coincide with the training of the operators of
- the same regions.

160

- Dr. Carpenter asked Ms. Lohmann to speak to Breath Alcohol's accreditation plans (ISO 17025).
- Ms. Lohmann discussed how the lab was moving forward with plans for accreditation. Making
- sure that protocol is being followed and that all gas tanks will be NIST traceable. Dr. Carpenter
- gave some suggestions on traceability. Discussion continued regarding ISO accreditation and
- the new breath alcohol instrumentation.

166

Dr. Carpenter and Dr. Poklis agreed on calling Barry Fisher, Chair of the SAC, to join the breath alcohol subcommittee to seek guidance on how to further proceed.

169

170 The Subcommittee recessed at 11:12 a.m. to allow time for Mr. Fisher to join the meeting.

171

172 The Subcommittee reconvened at 11:17 am.

173

Barry Fisher, Chair of the SAC; Michelle Gowdy, DFS Counsel; Dave Barron, PhD., DFS Technical Director; joined the meeting at that time.

176

Discussion continued on how the subcommittee would report the full scientific advisory committee.

179

180 The subcommittee recessed at 11:25 a.m.

181

The subcommittee reconvened at 11:31 a.m.

183 184

185 Recommendation for the SAC and FSB: 186 187 Discussion continued on the needs of the SAC from breath alcohol for the final recommendation 188 to the FSB. Discussion also continued on the plans to move forward with the implementation of 189 the new instruments. It was decided that Dr. Carpenter would speak to the Scientific Advisory 190 Committee about where DFS stands in the process of implementing new breath alcohol 191 instrumentation. The consensus was that the review of the written protocols and accompanying 192 documentation up until this point are appropriate and DFS is moving in the right direction. The 193 subcommittee plans on completing their review of final documentation (written protocols and 194 final procedures) prior to the August 5, 2008 Scientific Advisory Committee meeting and providing a follow up report. 195 196 197 Public Comment: 198 199 Dr. Carpenter asked if there was any public comment. There was none. 200 201 Adjourn: 202

203

The meeting was adjourned at 11:46 a.m.